

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,768	HASEGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After election

 (1) Christopher RoDee.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kirsten Grüneberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2005
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner telephoned counsel and requested permission to make an Examiner's Amendment to claim 5 to correct the missing word "in" in line 2. Counsel approved the amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)